Moir & Hardman

KENNETH E. HARDMAN

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1828 L STREET, N.W., SUITE 901
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-5104
FACSIMILE: 202-833-2416
kenhardman@attglobal.net

DIRECT DIAL: (202) 223-3772

December 11, 2000

NOTICE OF EX PARTE PRESENTATION

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 - 12th Street, S.W., TW-A325 Washington, DC 20554

Re: Wireless Consumers Alliance, Inc.

Petition for Declaratory Ruling Docket No. WT 99-263

Dear Ms. Salas:

Transmitted electronically herewith for filing is a memorandum describing the *ex parte* meetings on December 6, 2000, between representatives of the Wireless Consumers Alliance, Inc. and staff members of Chairman Kennard and Commissioner Furchtgott-Roth.

Very truly yours,

s/ Kenneth E. Hardman

Kenneth E. Hardman

Enclosure

Moir & Hardman

KENNETH E. HARDMAN

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1828 L STREET, N.W., SUITE 901
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-5104
FACSIMILE: 202-833-2416
kenhardman@attglobal.net

DIRECT DIAL: (202) 223-3772

EX PARTE MEMORANDUM

<u>MEMORANDUM</u>

To: Ms. Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

From: Kenneth E. Hardman

Date: December 11, 2000

Re: Petition of the Wireless Consumers Alliance, Inc.

Docket No. WT 99-263

Carl B. Hilliard and Kenneth E. Hardman, representing the Wireless Consumers Alliance, Inc., met on December 8, 2000, with Bryan Tramont, Legal Assistant to Commissioner Harold Furchtgott-Roth, and on December 11, 2000, with Clint Odom, Legal Assistant to Chairman William E. Kennard, concerning BellSouth's petition for review of the Commission's decision and CTIA's petition for reconsideration thereof. Following is a summary of the arguments advanced in those meetings on behalf of WCA:

This proceeding is an adjudication of narrow and specific issues, in contrast to a broad, quasi-legislative rulemaking typical of Commission proceedings; the issues were extensively briefed and argued by all sides; the Commission rendered a carefully considered and meticulously documented decision; and CTIA's petition for reconsideration merely rehashes matters previously briefed and argued by the parties and rejected by the Commission in its decision. Accordingly, CTIA's petition is unusually appropriate for summary denial and the Commission should promptly do so.